UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,895	08/07/2006	Rangaswamy G. Iyengar	TESZ 2 00196	7192	
27885 Fay Sharpe LLF	7590 05/13/200 >	9	EXAMINER		
1228 Euclid Av	enue, 5th Floor	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S			
The Halle Build Cleveland, OH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intervious Company	10/549,895	IYENGAR ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher S. Kim	3752				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Christopher S. Kim</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Timothy E. Nauman</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>11 May 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	;]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Art of Record</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant inquired whether the Office action mail March 9, 2009 was properly made final. The claim amendments filed December 29, 2008 added the term "for" to claim 1 in two locations. It appeared that the intent was to not positively recite the fluid reservoir in the claimed invention. The scope of the claim was changed and the amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Christopher S. Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752						

Application No.

Applicant(s)